

Second Annual Survey of the Most Recent BACT/LAER Determinations for Combustion Turbines by State Air Pollution Control Agencies

**Paper #: 70404 Air & Waste Management Association Annual Meeting June 2003
San Diego, CA**

Prepared by Adeel A. Yousuf, Nishat H. Hydari, Patricia A. Earls and Dr. Howard M. Ellis, QEP

Enviroplan Consulting, 81 Two Bridges Road, Fairfield, NJ 07004

ABSTRACT

In early 2002, a survey was conducted of state air pollution control agencies to determine the most recent Best Available Control Technology (BACT) and Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) determinations for natural gas combustion turbines used in electric power generation facilities. BACT and LAER for both simple cycle and combined cycle modes of turbine operation were evaluated.

This paper reports on the results of a follow up survey conducted in early 2003. It is intended to address the need for current BACT/LAER determinations used by state air pollution agencies and private industries.

The survey was conducted of state air pollution control agencies in the eastern half of the United States. Twenty-one of 24 states contacted responded to the survey. Each state was queried on the most recent BACT/LAER emission standard determinations for simple and combined cycle combustion turbines; compliance averaging time applicable to these determinations; the types of control technologies required by each state agency; the cost per ton of pollutant removed threshold for economic feasibility; and the total number of BACT/LAER determinations made by each state. The survey focused on the following pollutants: nitrogen oxides (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), particulate matter of ten micron diameter and less (PM₁₀), and hydrocarbons (HC).

A total of 144 BACT and LAER determinations were provided. The survey results show that BACT and LAER emission standard determinations for large combustion turbines vary significantly by state. Similarly, the compliance averaging times also vary significantly. However, both the control technologies selected for BACT and LAER and the average cost per ton of pollutant removed threshold for economic feasibility are more consistent among the states.

Finally, only 23% of the most recent BACT/LAER determinations in this survey were included in the RBLC database. U.S. EPA could help states make better BACT and LAER determinations by speeding up the process of incorporating the most recent BACT and LAER determinations in the RBLC database.

INTRODUCTION

Any new major stationary source or major modification locating in an area attaining the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) is subject to Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) requirements under 40 CFR 52.21, and must conduct an analysis to ensure the application of BACT. Also, any new major stationary source or major modification locating in an area not attaining the NAAQS is subject to non-attainment new source review permitting requirements and must conduct an analysis to ensure the application of LAER.

The regulatory decisions on BACT and LAER can have significant economic impacts on a proposed project (e.g. emission limits, allowable operating conditions). Thus, at the time of project development and the decision to proceed with the project, it is important to have timely information on the BACT and LAER determinations that will actually apply to the project.

To provide a central clearinghouse for BACT, LAER and Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) determinations throughout the nation, U.S. EPA has established the RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse. As described on its web page, “the RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse (RBLC) database contains information distilled from early notification submittals and air permits received from State and local air pollution control programs in the United States. The RBLC Web site also contains summary information on air pollution emission standards. The data assists State/local agency personnel and private companies in determining what types of controls and pollution prevention measures have been applied to and/or are required for various sources and the effectiveness of these technologies.” ⁽¹⁾

The challenge is that early in the planning process for a new project, the project developer needs access to the most recent BACT/LAER determinations to make decisions on project design and to evaluate fully the economic feasibility of the project.

The time between the state or local regulatory decision on a BACT/LAER determination and the inclusion of that decision in the RBLC varies by state and can be substantial.

The purpose of this paper is to present the results of the second annual survey conducted by the authors of recent BACT/LAER determinations in states in the eastern half of the U.S. for a major source category - - new large combustion turbines for power generation. We compare these determinations state by state and observe whether these determinations are, in fact, included in the RBLC database.

SURVEY PROCEDURES

The survey questions are given in Table 1. Questions addressed included date of permit issuance, combustion turbine type and size, pollutants for which BACT or LAER determinations were made and the emission standard determination for each pollutant, compliance averaging time, required control technology, and the cost per ton of pollutant

removed threshold for economic feasibility in the determination. Responses were requested for the three most recent large combustion turbines permitted in each state.

At least three of the most recent BACT and/or LAER determinations were requested. Several states provided more determinations. If less than three determinations were made in the respective state in 2002, we used the most recent determinations from the 2002 survey we conducted so that a minimum of three total determinations per state were provided. In some cases, the state provided the determinations directly. In other cases, they referred us to the respective permit in which the determinations were provided.

Table 1. Survey questions

Questionnaire on State Agency Experience in BACT and LAER Determinations for Three Most Recent Large Combustion Turbines Permitted			
State:	Person Providing Response:		
Date:	Email:	Phone #:	
	Permit #1	Permit #2	Permit #3
Permit #			
Date Permit Issued			
Combustion Turbine Type: (Combined Cycle (CC), Simple Cycle (SC))			
Size: (Megawatts (MW) output ^(a) , MMBTU/hour fuel input)			
Pollutant: (NO _x , CO, SO ₂ , PM ₁₀ , HC)			
Type of Determination: (BACT, LAER)			
Emission Standard: (ppm, lbs/MMBTU, % sulfur fuel, etc.)			
Compliance Averaging Time: (1 hour, rolling 4-hour, etc.)			
Required Control Technology: (SCR and/or LNB for NO _x , catalytic oxidation for CO, etc.)			
Cost per ton of pollutant removed threshold for economic feasibility in the determination (dollars/ton)			
Note: (a) MW output for combined cycle combustion turbines is upstream of the HRSG.			

Table 2 lists the 23 states contacted in this survey and those states that responded. In each state, we sought to contact the person in charge of the control technology determinations in the new source review process. Surveys were sent by e-mail with phone call follow up as needed. Twenty-one of the 24 states provided survey responses that were sufficiently complete to include in the results. A total of 144 BACT and LAER determinations are included in the survey results.

Table 2. States contacted in survey.

State	Status	State	Status
Alabama	Complete	Massachusetts	Complete
Arkansas	Complete	Michigan	Complete
Connecticut	Complete	Mississippi	Info not provided
Delaware	Complete	New Hampshire	Complete
Florida	Complete	New Jersey	Info not provided
Georgia	Complete	New York	Complete
Illinois	Complete	North Carolina	Complete
Indiana	Complete	Pennsylvania	Complete
Kentucky	Complete	Rhode Island	Complete
Louisiana	Complete	South Carolina	Complete
Maine	Complete	Tennessee	Complete
Maryland	Info not provided	Virginia	Complete

RESULTS

Survey results are presented in the following five tables.

Table 3 presents the average BACT determination by state for simple cycle, combined cycle and all combustion turbines for up to five air pollutants. Twenty-one states responded to this question in the survey

For NO_x, these average BACT determinations for simple cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 9 ppm in five states to a maximum of 15 ppm in Virginia. These average BACT determinations for combined cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 2.5 ppm in Florida, Kentucky, North Carolina and Virginia to a maximum of 6.25 ppm in Maine.

For CO, these average BACT determinations for simple cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 8 ppm in Florida to a maximum of 25 ppm in Indiana, Kentucky and Michigan. These average BACT determinations for combined cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 1.5 ppm in Kentucky to a maximum of 25 ppm in Louisiana.

For SO₂, these average BACT determinations for simple cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 0.0006 lbs/MMBTU in North Carolina to a maximum of 0.006 lbs/MMBTU in Louisiana. These average BACT determinations for

combined cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 0.0006 lbs/MMBTU in North Carolina to a maximum of 0.0063 lbs/MMBTU in Alabama.

For PM10, these average BACT determinations for simple cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 0.0055 lbs/MMBTU in North Carolina to a maximum of 0.023 lbs/MMBTU in Georgia. These average BACT determinations for combined cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 0.0055 lbs/MMBTU in North Carolina to a maximum of 0.021 lbs/MMBTU in Delaware and New York.

For HC, these average BACT determinations for simple cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 1.4 ppm in North Carolina to a maximum of 6 ppm in Georgia. These average BACT determinations for combined cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 0.7 ppm in Kentucky to a maximum of 6.47 ppm in Michigan. These variations in the HC BACT determinations may depend more on the HC content of the natural gas supply in the respective state than on any control technology.

Table 4 presents the average LAER emission standard determination by state for simple cycle, combined cycle and all combustion turbines for up to five air pollutants. There were seven states responding for NO_x, only two for HC, and only one each for PM10 and CO. There were no responses for SO₂.

For simple cycle combustion turbines, only one LAER determination was reported and it was for NO_x with an emission limit of 2.0 ppm in Connecticut.

For NO_x, the average LAER determinations for combined cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines vary from a minimum of 2.0 ppm in Massachusetts and New York to a maximum of 3.0 ppm in Pennsylvania.

For CO, the one LAER determination for combined cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines was 2.0 ppm in New York.

For PM10, the one LAER determination for combined cycle natural gas fired combustion turbines was 0.0155 lbs/MMBTU in New York.

For HC, the two LAER determinations were 1.3 ppm in New York and 1.56 ppm in Pennsylvania.

Based on natural gas firing, for NO_x, these average LAER determinations vary from 2.0 to 3.0 ppm. For CO the determination is 2.0 ppm. For PM10 the determination is 0.0155 lb/MMBtu and for HC they vary from 1.3 to 1.56 ppm.

Table 5 presents the compliance averaging times included in the BACT and LAER determinations. Several states use more than a single averaging time and corresponding emission limit in their permits.

For NO_x, these compliance averaging times vary from a minimum of 1-hour never to be exceeded for six states to a maximum of 24 hours for Florida (block averages) and North Carolina (rolling averages).

For CO, these compliance averaging times vary from a minimum of one hour in eight states to a maximum of rolling 30 days in South Carolina.

For SO₂, these compliance averaging times vary from a minimum of one hour in four states to a maximum of three hours in another four states.

For PM₁₀, these compliance averaging times vary from a minimum of one hour in five states to a maximum of 24 hours in Michigan.

For HC, these compliance averaging times vary from a minimum of one hour in New York, Pennsylvania and Rhode Island to a maximum of 30-day rolling average in South Carolina.

Table 3. The average BACT determination by state for simple cycle, combined cycle and all combustion turbines.

State	Pollutant	Average BACT Determination					
		Simple Cycle Combustion Turbine		Combined Cycle Combustion Turbine		All Combustion Turbines	
		#	Avg. BACT	#	Avg. BACT	#	Avg. BACT
Alabama	NO _x			3	5.0 ppm	3	5.0 ppm
	CO			3	12.0 ppm	3	12.0 ppm
	SO ₂			2	0.0063 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0063 lb/mmBtu
	PM ₁₀			3	0.0068 lb/mmBtu	3	0.0068 lb/mmBtu
	VOC			3	3.2 ppm	3	3.2 ppm
Arkansas	NO _x			2	3.5 ppm	3	3.5 ppm
	CO			3	13.93 ppm	3	13.93 ppm
	PM ₁₀			1	0.013 lb/mmBtu	1	0.013 lb/mmBtu
	VOC			2	4.9 ppmv	2	4.9 ppmv
Connecticut	SO ₂			1	.005 lb/mmBtu	1	.005 lb/mmBtu
	PM ₁₀			1	0.011 lb/mmBtu	1	0.011 lb/mmBtu
Delaware	NO _x	1	9 ppm	1	3 ppm	2	6 ppm
	CO	1	9 ppm	1	9 ppm	2	9 ppm
	SO ₂	1	0.003 lb/mmBtu	1	0.003 lb/mmBtu	2	0.003 lb/mmBtu
	PM ₁₀	1	0.02 lb/mmBtu	1	0.021 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0205 lb/mmBtu
Florida	NO _x	3	9 ppm	3	2.5 ppm	6	5.75 ppm
	CO	3	8 ppm	3	2.5 ppm	6	5.25 ppm
	SO ₂	1	0.0056 lb/mmBtu	1	0.0052 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0054 lb/mmBtu
	VOC	3	1.8 ppm	1	2.2 ppm	4	2.0 ppm
Georgia	NO _x	1	10 ppm	2	3.0 ppm	3	6.5 ppm
	CO	1	9 ppm	2	5.5 ppm	3	7.25 ppm
	PM ₁₀	1	0.023 lb/mmBtu	1	0.011 lb/mmBtu	3	0.0117 lb/mmBtu
	VOC	1	6 ppm	1	2.0 ppm	2	4.0 ppm

Illinois	NOx			2	4.0 ppm	2	4.0 ppm
	CO			2	4.5 ppm	2	4.5 ppm
	SO ₂			2	0.0039 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0039 lb/mmBtu
	PM10			2	0.01 lb/mmBtu	2	0.01 lb/mmBtu
Indiana	NOx	1	9 ppm	2	2.75 ppm	3	5.87 ppm
	CO	1	25 ppm	2	7.50 ppm	3	16.25 ppm
	SO ₂	1	0.0052 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0056 lb/mmBtu	3	0.0054 lb/mmBtu
	PM10	1	0.0095 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0073 lb/mmBtu	3	0.0084 lb/mmBtu
Kentucky	NOx	2	9 ppm	1	2.5 ppm	3	5.75 ppm
	CO	1	25 ppm	1	1.5 ppm	2	13.25 ppm
	VOC			1	0.7 ppm	1	0.7 ppm
Louisiana	NOx	2	13.5 ppm	1	5 ppm	3	9.25 ppm
	CO	2	25 ppm	1	25 ppm	3	25 ppm
	PM10	2	0.01 lb/mmBtu	1	0.01 lb/mmBtu	3	0.01 lb/mmBtu
	SO ₂	1	0.006 lb/mmBtu			1	0.006 lb/mmBtu
Maine	NOx			2	6.25 ppm	2	6.25 ppm
	CO			3	14.66 ppm	3	14.66 ppm
Michigan	NOx	2	12 ppm	3	3.33 ppm	5	7.67 ppm
	CO	1	25 ppm	3	5.57 ppm	4	15.29 ppm
	VOC			3	6.47 ppm	3	6.47 ppm
New Hampshire	CO			2	15 ppm	2	15 ppm
	SO ₂			2	0.0028 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0028 lb/mmBtu
	PM10			2	0.0086 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0086 lb/mmBtu
New York	SO ₂			2	0.0038 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0038 lb/mmBtu
	PM10			1	0.021 lb/mmBtu	1	0.021 lb/mmBtu
Pennsylvania	CO			3	7.67 ppm	3	7.67 ppm
North Carolina	NOx	2	10.5 ppm	2	2.5 ppm	4	6.5 ppm
	CO	2	9 ppm	2	9 ppm	4	9 ppm
	SO ₂	2	0.0006 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0006 lb/mmBtu	4	0.0006 lb/mmBtu
	PM10	2	0.0055 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0055 lb/mmBtu	4	0.0055 lb/mmBtu
	VOC	2	1.4 ppm	2	1.4 ppm	4	1.4 ppm
South Carolina	NOx			3	5.83 ppm	3	5.83 ppm
	CO			2	14.55 ppm	2	14.55 ppm
	VOC			1	3.3 ppm	1	3.3 ppm
Tennessee	NOx	3	9 ppm			3	9 ppm
Rhode Island	CO			2	13.95 ppm	2	13.95 ppm
	SO ₂			2	0.0057 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0057 lb/mmBtu
	PM10			2	0.009 lb/mmBtu	2	0.009 lb/mmBtu
	VOC			2	2.0 ppm	2	2.0 ppm
Virginia	NOx	1	15 ppm	2	2.5 ppm	3	8.75 ppm
	CO	1	15 ppm	2	5.0 ppm	3	10.0 ppm
	SO ₂			2	0.0039 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0039 lb/mmBtu

	PM10			2	0.0124 lb/mmBtu	2	0.0124 lb/mmBtu
	VOC	1	2 ppm	1	2.7 ppm	2	2.35 ppm

Table 4. The average LAER determination by state for simple cycle, combined cycle and all combustion turbines.

State	Pollutant	Average LAER Determination					
		Simple Cycle Combustion Turbine		Combined Cycle Combustion Turbine		All Combustion Turbines	
		#	Avg. LAER	#	Avg. LAER	#	Avg. LAER
Connecticut	NOx	1	2.0 ppm			1	2.0 ppm
Maine	NOx			1	2.5 ppm	1	2.5 ppm
Massachusetts	NOx			1	2.0 ppm*	1	2.0 ppm
New Hampshire	NOx			2	2.5 ppm	2	2.5 ppm
New York	NOx			2	2 ppm	2	2 ppm
	CO			2	2 ppm	2	2 ppm
	PM10			1	0.0155 lb/mmBtu	1	0.0155 lb/mmBtu
	VOC			2	1.3 ppm	2	1.3 ppm
Pennsylvania	NOx			3	3 ppm	3	3 ppm
	VOC			3	1.56 ppm	3	1.56 ppm
Rhode Island	NOx			2	2.75 ppm	2	2.75 ppm

* The emission limit for this particular gas turbine is based on 1.5 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ for 90% of the operating time per rolling 12 month period and 2.0 ppmvd @ 15% O₂ for no more than 10% of the operating time per rolling 12-month period.

Table 5. Comparison of compliance averaging times in BACT/LAER determinations.

State	Pollutant	Compliance Averaging Times Used in BACT/LAER Determinations
Alabama	NOx	3 hour, 8 hour
	CO	3 hour, 8 hour
	SO ₂	3 hour, 8 hour
	PM10	3 hour, 8 hour
	VOC	3 hour, 8 hour
Arkansas	NOx	3 hour, 24 hour
	CO	24 hour
	PM10	3 hour
	VOC	3 hour
Connecticut	NOx	3 hour
	CO	1 hour
	SO ₂	3 hour
Delaware	NOx	1 hour
	CO	1 hour
	SO ₂	1 hour
	PM10	1 hour
Florida	NOx	24 hour block average
	CO	3 hour block average
	VOC	3 hour
Georgia	NOx	3 hour rolling average
	CO	3 hour rolling average
Illinois	NOx	1 hour

	CO	1 hour
Indiana	NOx	3 hour block average, 24 hour
	CO	24 hour block average
Kentucky	NOx	3 hour, 12 month rolling average (combined with 3 hour averaging time)
	CO	3 hour
	SO ₂	3 hour
	PM10	3 hour
Louisiana	NOx	1 hour
	CO	1 hour
	SO ₂	1 hour
	PM10	1 hour
Maine	NOx	3 and 24 hour block average
	CO	24 hour block average
Massachusetts	NOx	1 hour
Michigan	NOx	3 hour, 24 hour rolling
	CO	24 hour rolling, day
	PM10	24 hour rolling, day
	VOC	24 hour rolling
New Hampshire	NOx	3 hour block average
	CO	1 hour block average
	SO ₂	3 hour rolling
	PM10	1 hour block average
New York	NOx	3 hour rolling average
	CO	1 hour
	SO ₂	1 hour
	PM10	1 hour
	VOC	1 hour
Pennsylvania	NOx	1 hour
	CO	1 hour
	SO ₂	1 hour
	PM10	1 hour
	VOC	1 hour
North Carolina	NOx	24 hour rolling average
South Carolina	NOx	3 hour, 24 hour
	CO	Rolling 30 day
	VOC	30 day rolling
Rhode Island	NOx	1 hour
	CO	1 hour
	VOC	1 hour
Virginia	NOx	3 hour block average
	CO	3 hour average

Table 6 presents the required control technologies in the BACT/LAER determinations.

Table 7 presents the average cost per ton of pollutant removed threshold for economic feasibility in the BACT determination and in the LAER determination separately by state. The average cost per ton varies significantly by pollutant and among states.

Finally, Table 8 provides an indication of how up to date the RBLC Clearinghouse data is. For each state, we show how many of the most recent BACT/LAER determinations are in the RBLC Clearinghouse data. Overall, only 42 of the 184 determinations or 23% of the most recent BACT/LAER determinations in this survey were in the RBLC Clearinghouse.

CONCLUSIONS

BACT and LAER emission standard determinations for large combustion turbines vary significantly by state. Similarly, the compliance averaging times also vary significantly. However, both the control technologies selected for BACT and LAER and the average cost per ton of pollutant removed threshold for economic feasibility are more consistent among the states.

Finally, only 23% of the most recent BACT/LAER determinations in this survey were included in the RBLC database. U.S. EPA could help states make better BACT and LAER determinations by speeding up the process of incorporating the most recent BACT and LAER determinations in the RBLC database.

REFERENCES

- (1) 40 CFR §52.21, *Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality*.
- (2) 40 CFR Part 51, *Appendix S, Emission Offset Interpretive Ruling*.
- (3) U.S. EPA web page. <http://www.epa.gov/ttnca1/rblc/htm/bl02.cfm>

KEY WORDS

Combustion Turbines
PSD
BACT
LAER
RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse

Table 6. Required control technologies in the BACT/LAER determinations

State	Pollutant	Required Control Technologies in the BACT/LAER Determinations
Alabama	NO _x	Dry Low NO _x ; Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR)
	CO	Good Combustion
	SO ₂	Pipeline quality natural gas
	PM ₁₀	Good Combustion, Clean burning fuels
	VOC	Good Combustion
Arkansas	NO _x	Dry Low NO _x w/SCR
	CO	Catalytic Oxidation
	SO ₂	Fuel Sulfur limitation
	VOC	Catalytic Oxidation
Connecticut	NO _x	SCR
	CO	Catalytic Oxidation
Delaware	NO _x	Dry Low NO _x , SCR
	SO ₂	Fuel Sulfur limitation
Florida	NO _x	SCR
	CO	Combustion control; Catalytic Oxidation
	SO ₂	Low sulfur fuels
Georgia	NO _x	Dry Low NO _x with SCR
	CO	Efficient Combustion
	SO ₂	Low sulfur natural gas
	PM ₁₀	Efficient Combustion
Illinois	NO _x	Dry low NO _x with SCR
	CO	Catalytic Oxidation, Good Combustion Practices
	SO ₂	Low sulfur natural gas
	PM ₁₀	Good combustion practices
	VOC	Good combustion practices
Indiana	NO _x	Dry Low NO _x Combustors; SCR
	CO	Good combustor design/operation
	SO ₂	Low sulfur fuel
	PM ₁₀	Good combustor design and combustion control
	VOC	Good combustor design and combustion control
Kentucky	NO _x	Dry Low NO _x Combustor with SCR
	CO	Catalytic Oxidation, Good combustion practices
	SO ₂	Low sulfur natural gas
	PM ₁₀	Good combustion control
	VOC	Catalytic Oxidation
Louisiana	NO _x	Dry Low NO _x Combustor, SCR
Maine	NO _x	Dry Low NO _x Combustor, SCR
	CO	Good combustion practices
	SO ₂	Natural gas combustion only
	PM ₁₀	Good combustion practices, natural gas only
	VOC	Good combustion practices
Massachusetts	NO _x	SCR
Michigan	NO _x	Dry Low NO _x Burner (DLNB), SCR
	CO	Catalytic Oxidation
	PM ₁₀	Good Combustion
	VOC	Catalytic Oxidation
New Hampshire	NO _x	Low NO _x Burner (LNB) with SCR
	CO	Good combustion practices
	SO ₂	Low sulfur fuels, < 0.05% sulfur
	PM ₁₀	Low sulfur fuels
New York	NO _x	SCR, LNB
	CO	Catalytic Oxidation

	SO ₂	Low sulfur fuel
	PM10	Fire only natural gas
	VOC	Catalytic Oxidation
Pennsylvania	NOx	DLNB + SCR
	CO + VOC	Oxidation Catalyst
	SO ₂	Low sulfur fuel
	PM10	NG - Natural Gas only
North Carolina	NOx	DLNB
	CO	Combustion control
	VOC	Combustion control
	PM10	Combustion control
South Carolina	NOx	SCR, dry low NOx burners
	CO	Good combustion practices
	VOC	Good combustion practices
	SO ₂	Combustion of low sulfur fuels
Tennessee	NOx	Dry low NOx combustors
Rhode Island	NOx	SCR and dry low NOx
	CO	Combustion control
	SO ₂	Pipeline natural gas
	PM10	Combustion control
	VOC	Combustion control
Virginia	NOx	Dry low NOx combustors, SCR
	CO	Oxidation Catalyst, Good combustion practice
	SO ₂	Low sulfur fuel, Good combustion control
	PM10	Good combustion control
	VOC	Good combustion control

Table 7. Average cost per ton of pollutant removed threshold for economic feasibility in the BACT/LAER determination.

State	Pollutant	BACT Determinations Average Cost per Ton	LAER Determinations Average Cost per Ton
Arkansas	CO/VOC	\$3,373	
	NOx	\$5,108	
Connecticut	NOx	\$9,000	
Florida	NOx	\$3,535	
	CO	\$2,475	
Indiana	CO	\$5,700	
Kentucky	NOx	\$12,485	

Table 8. BACT/LAER determinations from this survey that are in U.S. EPA's RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse Database

State	Pollutant	BACT /LAER Determinations in This Survey	BACT/LAER Determinations from Survey that are in U.S. EPA's RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse Database	Percentage of BACT/LAER Determinations from Survey that are in U.S. EPA's RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse Database
Alabama	NOx	3	0	0
	CO	3	0	0
	PM10	3	0	0
	VOC	3	0	0
Arkansas	NOx	3	2	66.6
	CO	2	2	100
	PM10	1	1	100
	VOC	2	2	100
Connecticut	NOx	1	1	100
	SO ₂	1	1	100
	PM10	1	1	100
Delaware	NOx	2	0	0
	CO	2	0	0
	SO ₂	2	0	0
	PM10	2	0	0
Florida	NOx	3	0	0
	CO	3	0	0
	SO ₂	2	0	0
	VOC	1	0	0
Georgia	NOx	3	0	0
	CO	3	0	0
	PM10	3	0	0
	VOC	2	0	0
Illinois	NOx	2	0	0
	CO	2	0	0
	PM10	2	0	0
	SO ₂	2	0	0
Indiana	NOx	3	1	33.3
	CO	3	1	33.3
	SO ₂	3	1	33.3
	PM10	3	1	33.3
Kentucky	NOx	3	0	0
	CO	2	0	0
	VOC	1	0	0
Louisiana	NOx	3	0	0
	CO	3	0	0
	PM10	3	0	0
	SO ₂	1	0	0
Maine	NOx	3	3	100
	CO	3	3	100
Massachusetts	NOx	1	0	0
Michigan	NOx	5	0	0
	CO	4	0	0

	VOC	3	0	0
New Hampshire	NOx	2	0	0
	CO	2	0	0
	SO ₂	2	0	0
	PM10	2	0	0
New York	NOx	2	0	0
	CO	2	0	0
	SO ₂	2	0	0
	PM10	2	0	0
	VOC	2	0	0
Pennsylvania	NOx	3	0	0
	CO	3	0	0
	VOC	3	0	0
North Carolina	NOx	4	0	0
	CO	4	0	0
	SO ₂	4	0	0
	PM10	4	0	0
	VOC	4	0	0
South Carolina	NOx	3	0	0
	CO	2	0	0
	VOC	1	0	0
Tennessee	NOx	3	2	66.7
Rhode Island	NOx	2	2	100
	CO	2	2	100
	SO ₂	2	2	100
	PM10	2	2	100
	VOC	2	2	100
Virginia	NOx	3	2	66.7
	CO	3	2	66.7
	SO ₂	2	2	100
	PM10	2	2	100
	VOC	2	2	100
Total		184	42	
% in EPA RBL Database			23%	